

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,224	03/12/2004	David L. Stroup	DLS003	7565	
40269 7	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
BOWMAN & ASSOCIATES 1016 3RD AVENUE SW, SUITE 205 CARMEL IN 46032		HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Critavice, iiv	40032		3727		
	10/799,224 40269 BOWMAN & 1016 3RD AV	10/799,224 03/12/2004 40269 7590 11/15/2005 BOWMAN & ASSOCIATES	10/799,224 03/12/2004 David L. Stroup  40269 7590 11/15/2005 BOWMAN & ASSOCIATES 1016 3RD AVENUE SW, SUITE 205	10/799,224 03/12/2004 David L. Stroup DLS003  40269 7590 11/15/2005 EXAM BOWMAN & ASSOCIATES 1016 3RD AVENUE SW, SUITE 205 CARMEL, IN 46032 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>F</i> :	
1/	-
۷	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/799,224	STROUP, DAVID L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robin A. Hylton	3727		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 7-18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct any objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-14-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/799,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to a cup lid and a toothpick, classified in class 220, subclass
     212.
  - II. Claims 7-18, drawn to a cup lid, classified in class 220, subclass 713.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require retention mechanism formed in the lid body. The subcombination has separate utility such as a cup lid for holding a coffee stirrer, a straw or other implement.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Bruce Bowman on November 9, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 7-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin (US 4,036,392).

Plastic lid body (11) has an elongated pocket 14 has retention members (18).

Regarding claim 11, the claim is considered to be drawn to the subcombination since the preamble of the claim agree with the preamble of the independent claim and the toothpick has not been positively recited as part of the claimed structure.

7. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang (US 4,300,700).

Viewing figure 15, plastic lid (32') has an elongate recess with retention members (60). It is noted that cups come in various shapes including round and square.

Regarding claim 11, the claim is considered to be drawn to the subcombination since the preamble of the claim agree with the preamble of the independent claim and the toothpick has not been positively recited as part of the claimed structure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,224 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

9. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin.

Wherein it can be argued the recess of Martin cannot retain a toothpick therein, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the size of the recess of a size sufficient to releasably retain a toothpick therein, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

10. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang.

Wherein it can be argued the recess of Chang cannot retain a toothpick therein, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the size of the recess of a size sufficient to releasably retain a toothpick therein, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

11. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin.
Martin teaches the claimed lid except for the bottom surface of the recess being generally arcuate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make bottom surface of the recess being generally arcuate since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Doing so utilizes less material to manufacture the lid body.

With regard to claim 18, the claims do not set forth the ends of the recess. Thus, the location of the egress depression is at one end of the recess.

12. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,224

Art Unit: 3727

Chang teaches the claimed lid except for the bottom surface of the recess being generally arcuate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make bottom surface of the recess being generally arcuate since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Doing so utilizes less material to manufacture the lid body.

With regard to claim 18, the claims do not set forth the ends of the recess. Thus, the location of the egress depression is at one end of the recess.

### Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 14. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 15. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Art Unit: 3727

Date

The U.S	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH November 12, 2005

> Rbbiń A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727